

Faulk, Camilla

From: George E. McIntosh [georgemc@wavecable.com]
Sent: Monday, April 28, 2008 9:36 AM
To: Faulk, Camilla
Subject: Proposed Court Rule 34-

To whom it may concern:

I strongly urge adoption of the above Court rule.

My status is that of a retired Superior Court judge.

Implementation of this rule would save both Court time and Attorney's time, obviously. Anything that streamlines a cumbersome court process is worthy of consideration and should be adopted unless there is a serious downside.

If there is opposition to this rule, it probably centers on concern over fear of standards for pro bono eligibility either being relaxed or inadequately observed because of the lack of court supervision.

I believe this concern is more theoretical than real. In a typical day, a judge might sign dozens of orders. A thorough review of the justification for the order is not always practical or feasible, and heavy reliance is placed on the integrity of the lawyer presenting the order. The legal system would not work well or efficiently without this. I just do not believe this rule would result in any serious abuse of the system by the lawyers doing pro bono work.

**George E. McIntosh
11586 Scott Road
Bow, Wa. 87232
360-766-5008**